Petitioner wishes also to advise the Court that the record in this case has already been printed in sufficient copies to supply the requirements of the rules of this Court. All that need be added to the printed record is the proceedings before the Court of Appeals. Counsel is prepared to write the petitioner's brief and to argue this case before the Court at the same time as the *Harris* case is argued. Thus there will be no delay if both cases are heard at the same time. We are informed that *Harris* is presently scheduled for argument during the week of March 29, 1965.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted on all questions presented in this case, and this case should be set for full argument before the Court at the same time as the *Harris* case.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL H. GREENBERG
Counsel for Petitioner

January 20, 1965.

LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 4 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1964

No. [redacted] 218

CARMINE P. TRAMUNTI,

Petitioner,

—v.—

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

PHILIP R. EDELBAUM
Attorney for Petitioner
250 Broadway
New York, N. Y. 10007

32 CASE PRESS INC., 421 Hudson St., N.Y.—ORegon 5-4540

INDEX

	PAGE
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Questions Presented	2
Constitutional Provisions and Statutes Involved	5
Statement of the Case	5
Reasons for Granting the Writ	15
Conclusion	30
Appendix A—Opinion of United States Court of Appeals	1a
Appendix B—Judgment of United States Court of Appeals Sought to be Reviewed	9a
Appendix C—Motion and Affidavit in United States Court of Appeals for Leave to File Petition for Rehearing Out of Time	11a
Appendix D—Proposed Petition for Rehearing in United States Court of Appeals	15a
Appendix E—Order of Court of Appeals Denying Motion for Leave to File Petition for Rehearing Out of Time	21a

Authorities Cited

Cases

Al Harris v. United States, 379 U.S. 944	2, 15, 16, *passim*
Ambassador, Inc. v. United States, 325 U.S. 317	24, 25, 26
Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936)	23
Counselman v. Hitchcock, 142 U.S. 547	19

PAGE

Corona v. United States, 250 F. 2d 578 (C.A. 6 1958), cert. denied 356 U.S. 954, rehearing denied 356 U.S. 978 16

Doyle v. Hofstader, 257 N.Y. 244, 177 N.E. 489 (1931) 18

Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 18

In re Bart, 304 F. 2d 631 (C.A.D.C. 1962) 16, 18

In re McElrath, 248 F. 2d 612 (C.A.D.C. 1957) 16

Marcus v. United States, 310 F. 2d 143 (3 Cir. 1962), cert. denied, 372 U.S. 944, 83 S. Ct. 933, 9 L. Ed. 2d 969 (1963) ..... 20, 21, 22, 23, *passim*

McCarthy v. Arndstein, 266 U.S. 34 19

Murphy v. Waterfront Commission, 378 U.S. 52, 12 L. Ed. 2d 678 18

Scull v. Commonwealth of Virginia, 359 U.S. 344 30

United States v. Al Harris, 334 F. 2d 460 (C.A. 2 1964) 13, 14

United States v. Barnett, 376 U.S. 681 16

United States v. Pagano, 171 F. Supp. 435 (S.D.N.Y. 1959) 16

United States v. Testa, 326 F. 2d 730 (3 Cir.), cert. denied, 376 U.S. 931, 84 S. Ct. 701, 11 L. Ed. 2d 652 (1964) ..... 20, 21, 22, 23, *passim*

Wagner v. Milwaukee, 177 Wis. 410, 188 N.W. 487 (1922) 23

Watkins v. United States, 354 U.S. 178 30

United States Constitution

Fifth Amendment 5, 19

Sixth Amendment 5, 18, 19

Statutes

	PAGE
18 U.S.C. §1406	2, 3, 5, 6, 18
18 U.S.C. §3486	18
18 U.S.C. §401	5
28 U.S.C. §1254(1)	2
47 U.S.C. §409(1)	19
47 U.S.C. §§203 and 501	19, 22, 23, 24, 26
47 U.S.C. §201(b)	25, 26

Rules

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure:	
Rule 42(a)	15
Rule 42(b)	5, 15

Miscellaneous

Davis, Administrative Law (1951), s. 21	23
Gellhorn, Administrative Law (2d Ed. 1947), pp. 88-89	23